O-Lt Dist

Dear Jim, re my memo on the Dallas files on me 4/15/78

One of the matters I did not want to put in a record of which I would give Shea a copy is former SA Milton Kaack. Please keep this to yourself.

The record of my speaking to him that is provided is false and also inadequate. My recollections of it are quit clear. So is what I wanted to know and how he responded. What I do not know is whether this is one of t ose cover-the-ass memos of which a different record was made and kept in N.O. or if this is the only record.

Kaack is a conspicuous example of an agent who was involved in the Oswald matters from whom there was no affidavit. The appears to have escaped the attention and interest of everyone.

When Oswald got himself arrested, which is my interpretation of intent, eh asked to be interviewed by the FBI. The official pretense is that Quigley and he alone went and interviewed Oswald at the precinct jial. False. There was a second agent. Kaack?

Kaack told me he had not been asked to execute an affidavit and had not been among the agents asked to go to Washington.

Comparison, Matthews' drafts of affidavits - which limit what the FOs were to search, provide and state.

Kaack is the agent who did the Oswald New Orleans investigations prior to the assassination. He was at the Magazine Street address a number of times and spoke to the landlady without seeing Oswald then.

There are other factors. These are merely some suggestions.

I think the withholdings relating to the Martin and Doyle films and the relevent records are important and that as easily as we can we will want to press for them. The FBI, without any doubt, made copies, whether or not as both Martin and Doyle told me, the FBI kept the originals.

The withholdings about Claire may be significant because LHO did go there to seek a job, supposedly, and Claire was then involved with the CIA-related Cubans. I did include the minimum on this, that the FBI was supposed to have investigated all jobs and applications for them and did nothing about the Claire one. (Claire is far-right.)

What is ognored with regard to all these matters is what I had already written about them, which I regard as known to the Dallas and N.O. FOs. I had laid the Claire business out in W/ O in NO, as I did the matter of the films and the literature distributions. We know the books were analyzed and reviewed (no records provided).

With or without this as context I think the ways in which the FBI circumvented the requests tells us much.